

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/977,306	10/16/2001	Shinichi Yada	110870 4668		
25944 7	590 09/11/2006		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			WOO, ISAAC M		
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2166		
			DATE MAILED: 09/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/977,306		YADA, SHINICHI			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Isaac M. Wo	o	2166			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIO - Exte after - If NO - Fail Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL INSIGNS of time may be available under the provisions of 3 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communiant of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS TOTAL CATAGORIAN TOTAL	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from the tion to become ABANDONEE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>24 August 200</u> 6.					
2a)□			his action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>23-27 and 31</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-22 and 28-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election req	uirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
_	•	vaminer					
	9)∐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
<i>,</i> —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			* *			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by			, ,			
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International						
* (See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certifie	d copies not received	d.			
Attachmen	• •						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	4)	Interview Summary (
3) 🔲 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa				
	r No(s)/Mail Date		Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/977,306 Page 2

Art Unit: 2166

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 24, 2006 has been entered.

2. Claims 1-3, 12-13, 28 and 30 are amended. Claims 23-27 and 31 are withdrawn. Claims 1-22 and 28-30 are presented for examination for this office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

As set forth in MPEP 2106 (II) (A):

A. Identify and Understand Any Practical Application Asserted for the ${\it Invention}$

Application/Control Number: 09/977,306

Art Unit: 2166

The claimed invention as a whole must accomplish a practical application. That is, it must produce a "useful, concrete and tangible result." State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373, 47 USPQ2d at 1601-02. The purpose of this requirement is to limit patent protection to inventions that possess a certain level of "real world" value, as opposed to subject matter that represents nothing more than an idea or concept, or is simply a starting point for future investigation or research (Brenner v. Manson, 383 U.S. 519, 528-36, 148 USPQ 689, 693-96); In re Ziegler, 992, F.2d 1197, 1200-03, 26 USPQ2d 1600,1603-06 (Fed. Cir. 1993)). Accordingly, a complete disclosure should contain some indication of the practical application for the claimed invention, i.e., why the applicant believes the claimed invention is useful.

Apart from the utility requirement of 35 U.S.C. 101, usefulness under the patent eligibility standard requires significant functionality to be present to satisfy the useful result aspect of the practical application requirement. See Arrhythmia, 958 F.2d at 1057, 22 USPQ2d at 1036. Merely claiming nonfunctional descriptive material stored in a computer-readable medium does not make the invention eligible for patenting. For example, a claim directed to a word processing file stored on a disk may satisfy the utility requirement of 35 U.S.C. 101 since the information stored may have some "real world" value. However, the mere fact that the claim may satisfy the utility requirement of 35 U.S.C. 101 does not mean that a useful result is achieved under the practical application requirement. The claimed invention as a whole must produce a "useful, concrete and tangible" result to have a practical application.

Regarding claims 1-3, the claim limitation of claims 1-3, "An electronic information management server for classification and retrieval of documents", raise a

Application/Control Number: 09/977,306 Page 4

Art Unit: 2166

question as to whether the claimed invention is directed merely to an abstract idea that is not tied to a producing a concrete, useful, and tangible result to from the basis of statutory subject matter under 35 U.S. C. § 101. Claims 1-3 include *no physical structure of the machine in terms of its hardware or hardware and software combination.*Because the limitation of claims 1-3, every "means for" is computer program software system that are not embedded any a computer-readable medium and run by any a computer or machine. Therefore, the claims are not a statutory system and should be rejected under 35 U.S. C. § 101 as not being tangible.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-22 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thomas Huston et al (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0007402, hereinafter, "Huston") in view of David (U.S. Patent No. 6,801,902) further in view of Hiraizumi (U.S. Patent No. 5,550,997).

With respect to claim 1, Huston discloses, extracting (retrieving) a feature based on an instruction from a client (102, user, fig.1, page 1, section [0011], user requests for electronic document retrieval, page 10, section [0091]), the feature associated with electronic information stored in storing means (216 and 218, traffic server, fig.2A, page 3 sections [0034]) connected to a network, (230, 232 and 234, communication links in fig. Fig. 2A, page 3, sections [0035-0036]); deciding whether the electronic information is to be deleted based on the feature extracted by the feature extracting means and on a condition specified by the client, see (page 3, section [0037]); and deleting from the storing means via the network and via a deletion method specified by the client (page 3, section [0037]) unnecessary electronic information decided to be deleted by the deciding means, see (page 4, section [0040-0043], page 6, sections [0053-0054], user request to delete contents). Huston does not explicitly disclose, extracted feature is at least one of a title of a documents a creation date of the document, a creator of the document, a file name of the documents an application name under which the document is created, a document keyword and a full text of the document. However, David discloses, the automatically generated contents of the extended index features can be extracted from the documents as well. Such extracted contents of the index features are, for example the name of the author, the title or an abstract of the document, a text of a document present in the facsimile format detected by optical character recognition (OCR), or an invoice number detected by means of bar code recognition. But it is also possible to automatically extracted the entire text from any desired document and to file such text in a data bank with full-text capability. This

teaches that the system extracts the entire text that includes at least one of a title of a documents a creation date of the document, a creator of the document, a file name of the documents an application name under which the document is created, a document keyword and a full text of the document. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify by incorporating extracted feature is at least one of a title of a documents a creation date of the document, a creator of the document, a file name of the documents an application name under which the document is created, a document keyword and a full text of the document. Thus, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to use such a modification because that would provide Huston's system the enhanced extracting data feature method in the data retrieval and management system. Neither Huston nor David teaches the deleting means at least one of deleting information that matches a predetermined condition and deleting the information after having checked the condition. However, Hiraizumi discloses "mailing centers in a network and handles signals such as facsimile signals, audio signals and text signals, wherein, when a subscriber makes a request for deletion processing of particular information at a first one of the mailing centers, the first mailing center executes processing of deletion of the particular information from storage means thereof and delivers a request for deletion processing of the particular information and a request to inhibit processing by any other subscriber to a second one of the mailing centers other than the first mailing center, and then, after the deletion processing of the particular information is completed, the inhibition of processing by any other subscriber

is cancelled" (col. 5, lines 38-53). This teaches that the subscriber specifies deletion condition so that the particular information can be deleted. Therefore, based on Huston in view of David and further in view of Hiraizumi, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize the teaching of Hiraizumi to the system of Huston in order to delete unnecessary information in remote data management system.

With respect to claim 2, Huston discloses, extracting (retrieving) a feature based on an instruction from a client (102, user, fig.1, page 1, section [0011], user requests for electronic document retrieval, page 10, section [0091]), the feature associated with electronic information stored in storing means (216 and 218, traffic server, fig.2A, page 3 sections [0034]) connected to a network, (230, 232 and 234, communication links in fig. Fig. 2A, page 3, sections [0035-0036]); deciding whether the electronic information is to be deleted based on the feature extracted by the feature extracting means and on a condition specified by the client, see (page 3, section [0037]); and deleting from the storing means via the network and via a deletion method specified by the client (page 3, section [0037]) unnecessary electronic information decided to be deleted by the deciding means, see (page 4, section [0040-0043], page 6, sections [0053-0054], user request to delete contents). Huston does not explicitly disclose, extracted feature is at least one of a title of a documents a creation date of the document, a creator of the document, a file name of the documents an application name under which the document is created, a document keyword and a full text of the document. However,

David discloses, the automatically generated contents of the extended index features can be extracted from the documents as well. Such extracted contents of the index features are, for example the name of the author, the title or an abstract of the document, a text of a document present in the facsimile format detected by optical character recognition (OCR), or an invoice number detected by means of bar code recognition. But it is also possible to automatically extracted the entire text from any desired document and to file such text in a data bank with full-text capability. This teaches that the system extracts the entire text that includes at least one of a title of a documents a creation date of the document, a creator of the document, a file name of the documents an application name under which the document is created, a document keyword and a full text of the document. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify by incorporating extracted feature is at least one of a title of a documents a creation date of the document, a creator of the document, a file name of the documents an application name under which the document is created, a document keyword and a full text of the document. Thus, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to use such a modification because that would provide Huston's system the enhanced extracting data feature method in the data retrieval and management system. Neither Huston nor David teaches the deleting means at least one of deleting information that matches a predetermined condition and deleting the information after having checked the condition. However, Hiraizumi discloses "mailing centers in a network and handles signals such as facsimile signals, audio signals and

text signals, wherein, when a subscriber makes a request for deletion processing of particular information at a first one of the mailing centers, the first mailing center executes processing of deletion of the particular information from storage means thereof and delivers a request for deletion processing of the particular information and a request to inhibit processing by any other subscriber to a second one of the mailing centers other than the first mailing center, and then, after the deletion processing of the particular information is completed, the inhibition of processing by any other subscriber is cancelled" (col. 5, lines 38-53). This teaches that the subscriber specifies deletion condition so that the particular information can be deleted. Therefore, based on Huston in view of David and further in view of Hiraizumi, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize the teaching of Hiraizumi to the system of Huston in order to delete unnecessary information in remote data management system.

With respect to claim 3, Huston discloses, extracting (retrieving) a feature based on an instruction from a client (102, user, fig.1, page 1, section [0011], user requests for electronic document retrieval, page 10, section [0091]), the feature associated with electronic information stored in storing means (216 and 218, traffic server, fig.2A, page 3 sections [0034]) connected to a network, (230, 232 and 234, communication links in fig. Fig. 2A, page 3, sections [0035-0036]); deciding whether the electronic information is to be deleted based on the feature extracted by the feature extracting means and on a condition specified by the client, see (page 3, section [0037]); and deleting from the

storing means via the network and via a deletion method specified by the client (page 3, section [0037]) unnecessary electronic information decided to be deleted by the deciding means, see (page 4, section [0040-0043], page 6, sections [0053-0054], user request to delete contents). Huston does not explicitly disclose, extracted feature is at least one of a title of a documents a creation date of the document, a creator of the document, a file name of the documents an application name under which the document is created, a document keyword and a full text of the document. However, David discloses, the automatically generated contents of the extended index features can be extracted from the documents as well. Such extracted contents of the index features are, for example the name of the author, the title or an abstract of the document, a text of a document present in the facsimile format detected by optical character recognition (OCR), or an invoice number detected by means of bar code recognition. But it is also possible to automatically extracted the entire text from any desired document and to file such text in a data bank with full-text capability. This teaches that the system extracts the entire text that includes at least one of a title of a documents a creation date of the document, a creator of the document, a file name of the documents an application name under which the document is created, a document keyword and a full text of the document. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify by incorporating extracted feature is at least one of a title of a documents a creation date of the document, a creator of the document, a file name of the documents an application name under which the document is created, a document keyword and a full text of the

document. Thus, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to use such a modification because that would provide Huston's system the enhanced extracting data feature method in the data retrieval and management system. Neither Huston nor David teaches the deleting means at least one of deleting information that matches a predetermined condition and deleting the information after having checked the condition. However, Hiraizumi discloses "mailing centers in a network and handles signals such as facsimile signals, audio signals and text signals, wherein, when a subscriber makes a request for deletion processing of particular information at a first one of the mailing centers, the first mailing center executes processing of deletion of the particular information from storage means thereof and delivers a request for deletion processing of the particular information and a request to inhibit processing by any other subscriber to a second one of the mailing centers other than the first mailing center, and then, after the deletion processing of the particular information is completed, the inhibition of processing by any other subscriber is cancelled" (col. 5, lines 38-53). This teaches that the subscriber specifies deletion condition so that the particular information can be deleted. Therefore, based on Huston in view of David and further in view of Hiraizumi, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize the teaching of Hiraizumi to the system of Huston in order to delete unnecessary information in remote data management system.

With respect to claim 4, Huston discloses, whether the electronic information is to be deleted based on a feature comprising a character string, see (page 4, section [0040-0043], page 6, sections [0053-0054], user request to delete contents includes text).

With respect to claim 5, Huston discloses, whether the electronic information is to be deleted based on a feature comprising an image, see (page 4, section [0040-0043], page 6, sections [0053-0054], user request to delete web contents includes images).

With respect to claim 6, Huston discloses, whether the electronic information is to be deleted based on a feature comprising a similar image, see (page 4, section [0040-0043], page 6, sections [0053-0054]).

With respect to claim 7, Huston discloses, decides that other electronic information related to specific electronic information is also deleted together with the specific electronic information decided to be deleted based on the feature, see (page 4, section [0040-0043], page 6, sections [0053-0054]).

With respect to claim 8, Huston discloses, temporarily storing electronic information sent via a network, deletes the unnecessary electronic information stored in

the temporarily storing means at a predetermined timing, see (page 4, section [0040-0043], page 6, sections [0053-0054]).

Page 13

With respect to claim 9, Huston discloses, deletes the unnecessary electronic information from the temporarily storing means after a predetermined period of time has elapsed, see (page 4, section [0040-0043], page 6, sections [0053-0054]).

With respect to claim 10, Huston discloses, instructing a feature associated with the electronic information to be deleted, see (page 4, section [0040-0043]).

With respect to claim 11, Huston discloses, inputting the feature and transferring it to the instructing and operating means, see (page 4, section [0040-0043]).

With respect to claim 12, Huston discloses, extracting (retrieving) a feature based on an instruction from a client (102, user, fig.1, page 1, section [0011], user requests for electronic document retrieval, page 10, section [0091]), the feature associated with electronic information stored in storing means (216 and 218, traffic server, fig.2A, page 3 sections [0034]) connected to a network, (230, 232 and 234, communication links in fig. Fig. 2A, page 3, sections [0035-0036]); deciding whether the electronic information is to be deleted based on the feature extracted by the feature extracting means and on a condition specified by the client, see (page 3, section [0037]); and deleting from the storing means via the network and via a deletion method specified by the client (page 3,

section [0037]) unnecessary electronic information decided to be deleted by the deciding means, see (page 4, section [0040-0043], page 6, sections [0053-0054], user request to delete contents). Huston does not explicitly disclose, extracted feature is at least one of a title of a documents a creation date of the document, a creator of the document, a file name of the documents an application name under which the document is created, a document keyword and a full text of the document. However, David discloses, the automatically generated contents of the extended index features can be extracted from the documents as well. Such extracted contents of the index features are, for example the name of the author, the title or an abstract of the document, a text of a document present in the facsimile format detected by optical character recognition (OCR), or an invoice number detected by means of bar code recognition. But it is also possible to automatically extracted the entire text from any desired document and to file such text in a data bank with full-text capability. This teaches that the system extracts the entire text that includes at least one of a title of a documents a creation date of the document, a creator of the document, a file name of the documents an application name under which the document is created, a document keyword and a full text of the document. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify by incorporating extracted feature is at least one of a title of a documents a creation date of the document, a creator of the document, a file name of the documents an application name under which the document is created, a document keyword and a full text of the document. Thus, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

would have been motivated to use such a modification because that would provide Huston's system the enhanced extracting data feature method in the data retrieval and management system. Neither Huston nor David teaches the deleting means at least one of deleting information that matches a predetermined condition and deleting the information after having checked the condition. However, Hiraizumi discloses "mailing centers in a network and handles signals such as facsimile signals, audio signals and text signals, wherein, when a subscriber makes a request for deletion processing of particular information at a first one of the mailing centers, the first mailing center executes processing of deletion of the particular information from storage means thereof and delivers a request for deletion processing of the particular information and a request to inhibit processing by any other subscriber to a second one of the mailing centers other than the first mailing center, and then, after the deletion processing of the particular information is completed, the inhibition of processing by any other subscriber is cancelled" (col. 5, lines 38-53). This teaches that the subscriber specifies deletion condition so that the particular information can be deleted. Therefore, based on Huston in view of David and further in view of Hiraizumi, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize the teaching of Hiraizumi to the system of Huston in order to delete unnecessary information in remote data management system.

Page 15

With respect to claim 13, Huston discloses, extracting (retrieving) a feature based on an instruction from a client (102, user, fig.1, page 1, section [0011], user requests for electronic document retrieval, page 10, section [0091]), the feature associated with electronic information stored in storing means (216 and 218, traffic server, fig.2A, page 3 sections [0034]) connected to a network, (230, 232 and 234, communication links in fig. Fig. 2A, page 3, sections [0035-0036]); deciding whether the electronic information is to be deleted based on the feature extracted by the feature extracting means and on a condition specified by the client, see (page 3, section [0037]); and deleting from the storing means via the network and via a deletion method specified by the client (page 3. section [0037]) unnecessary electronic information decided to be deleted by the deciding means, see (page 4, section [0040-0043], page 6, sections [0053-0054], user request to delete contents). Huston does not explicitly disclose, extracted feature is at least one of a title of a documents a creation date of the document, a creator of the document, a file name of the documents an application name under which the document is created, a document keyword and a full text of the document. However, David discloses, the automatically generated contents of the extended index features can be extracted from the documents as well. Such extracted contents of the index features are, for example the name of the author, the title or an abstract of the document, a text of a document present in the facsimile format detected by optical character recognition (OCR), or an invoice number detected by means of bar code recognition. But it is also possible to automatically extracted the entire text from any desired document and to file such text in a data bank with full-text capability. This

teaches that the system extracts the entire text that includes at least one of a title of a documents a creation date of the document, a creator of the document, a file name of the documents an application name under which the document is created, a document keyword and a full text of the document. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify by incorporating extracted feature is at least one of a title of a documents a creation date of the document, a creator of the document, a file name of the documents an application name under which the document is created, a document keyword and a full text of the document. Thus, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to use such a modification because that would provide Huston's system the enhanced extracting data feature method in the data retrieval and management system. Neither Huston nor David teaches the deleting means at least one of deleting information that matches a predetermined condition and deleting the information after having checked the condition. However, Hiraizumi discloses "mailing centers in a network and handles signals such as facsimile signals, audio signals and text signals, wherein, when a subscriber makes a request for deletion processing of particular information at a first one of the mailing centers, the first mailing center executes processing of deletion of the particular information from storage means thereof and delivers a request for deletion processing of the particular information and a request to inhibit processing by any other subscriber to a second one of the mailing centers other than the first mailing center, and then, after the deletion processing of the particular information is completed, the inhibition of processing by any other subscriber

Page 18

is cancelled" (col. 5, lines 38-53). This teaches that the subscriber specifies deletion condition so that the particular information can be deleted. Therefore, based on Huston in view of David and further in view of Hiraizumi, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize the teaching of Hiraizumi to the system of Huston in order to delete unnecessary information in remote data management system.

With respect to claim 14, Huston discloses, whether the electronic information is to be deleted based on a feature comprising a character string, see (page 4, section [0040-0043], page 6, sections [0053-0054], user request to delete contents includes text).

With respect to claim 15, Huston discloses, whether the electronic information is to be deleted based on a feature comprising an image, see (page 4, section [0040-0043], page 6, sections [0053-0054], user request to delete web contents includes images).

With respect to claim 16, Huston discloses, whether the electronic information is to be deleted based on a feature comprising a similar image, see (page 4, section [0040-0043], page 6, sections [0053-0054]).

With respect to claim 17, Huston discloses, decides that other electronic information related to specific electronic information is also deleted together with the specific electronic information decided to be deleted based on the feature, see (page 4, section [0040-0043], page 6, sections [0053-0054]).

With respect to claim 18, Huston discloses, temporarily storing electronic information sent via a network, deletes the unnecessary electronic information stored in the temporarily storing means at a predetermined timing, see (page 4, section [0040-0043], page 6, sections [0053-0054]).

With respect to claim 19, Huston discloses, deletes the unnecessary electronic information from the temporarily storing means after a predetermined period of time has elapsed, see (page 4, section [0040-0043], page 6, sections [0053-0054]).

With respect to claim 20, Huston discloses, instructing a feature associated with the electronic information to be deleted, see (page 4, section [0040-0043]).

With respect to claim 21, Huston discloses, inputting the feature and transferring it to the instructing and operating means, see (page 4, section [0040-0043]).

With respect to claim 22, Huston discloses, inputting a feature associated with the electronic information to be deleted; and giving a deletion execution instruction to

Application/Control Number: 09/977,306

Art Unit: 2166

unnecessary electronic information that is to be deleted and extracted from the storing means according to the input feature, see (page 4, section [0040-0043], page 6, sections [0053-0054]).

With respect to claim 28, Huston discloses, extracting (retrieving) a feature based on an instruction from a client (102, user, fig.1, page 1, section [0011], user requests for electronic document retrieval, page 10, section [0091]), the feature associated with electronic information stored in storing means (216 and 218, traffic server, fig.2A, page 3 sections [0034]) connected to a network, (230, 232 and 234, communication links in fig. Fig. 2A, page 3, sections [0035-0036]); deciding whether the electronic information is to be deleted based on the feature extracted by the feature extracting means and on a condition specified by the client, see (page 3, section [0037]); and deleting from the storing means via the network and via a deletion method specified by the client (page 3, section [0037]) unnecessary electronic information decided to be deleted by the deciding means, see (page 4, section [0040-0043], page 6, sections [0053-0054], user request to delete contents). Huston does not explicitly disclose, extracted feature is at least one of a title of a documents a creation date of the document, a creator of the document, a file name of the documents an application name under which the document is created, a document keyword and a full text of the document. However, David discloses, the automatically generated contents of the extended index features can be extracted from the documents as well. Such extracted contents of the index features are, for example the name of the author, the title or an abstract of the

document, a text of a document present in the facsimile format detected by optical character recognition (OCR), or an invoice number detected by means of bar code recognition. But it is also possible to automatically extracted the entire text from any desired document and to file such text in a data bank with full-text capability. This teaches that the system extracts the entire text that includes at least one of a title of a documents a creation date of the document, a creator of the document, a file name of the documents an application name under which the document is created, a document keyword and a full text of the document. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify by incorporating extracted feature is at least one of a title of a documents a creation date of the document, a creator of the document, a file name of the documents an application name under which the document is created, a document keyword and a full text of the document. Thus, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to use such a modification because that would provide Huston's system the enhanced extracting data feature method in the data retrieval and management system. Neither Huston nor David teaches the deleting means at least one of deleting information that matches a predetermined condition and deleting the information after having checked the condition. However, Hiraizumi discloses "mailing centers in a network and handles signals such as facsimile signals, audio signals and text signals, wherein, when a subscriber makes a request for deletion processing of particular information at a first one of the mailing centers, the first mailing center executes processing of deletion of the particular information from storage means thereof Application/Control Number: 09/977,306

Art Unit: 2166

and delivers a request for deletion processing of the particular information and a request to inhibit processing by any other subscriber to a second one of the mailing centers other than the first mailing center, and then, after the deletion processing of the particular information is completed, the inhibition of processing by any other subscriber is cancelled" (col. 5, lines 38-53). This teaches that the subscriber specifies deletion condition so that the particular information can be deleted. Therefore, based on Huston in view of David and further in view of Hiraizumi, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize the teaching of Hiraizumi to the system of Huston in order to delete unnecessary information in remote data management system.

With respect to claim 29, Huston discloses, storing electronic information sent via a network in temporary storing means; and deleting unnecessary electronic information stored in the temporary storing means at a predetermined timing, see (page 4, section [0040-0043], page 6, sections [0053-0054]).

With respect to claim 30, Huston discloses, extracting (retrieving) a feature based on an instruction from a client (102, user, fig.1, page 1, section [0011], user requests for electronic document retrieval, page 10, section [0091]), the feature associated with electronic information stored in storing means (216 and 218, traffic server, fig.2A, page 3 sections [0034]) connected to a network, (230, 232 and 234, communication links in fig. Fig. 2A, page 3, sections [0035-0036]); deciding whether the electronic information

is to be deleted based on the feature extracted by the feature extracting means and on a condition specified by the client, see (page 3, section [0037]); and deleting from the storing means via the network and via a deletion method specified by the client (page 3, section [0037]) unnecessary electronic information decided to be deleted by the deciding means, see (page 4, section [0040-0043], page 6, sections [0053-0054], user request to delete contents). Huston does not explicitly disclose, extracted feature is at least one of a title of a documents a creation date of the document, a creator of the document, a file name of the documents an application name under which the document is created, a document keyword and a full text of the document. However, David discloses, the automatically generated contents of the extended index features can be extracted from the documents as well. Such extracted contents of the index features are, for example the name of the author, the title or an abstract of the document, a text of a document present in the facsimile format detected by optical character recognition (OCR), or an invoice number detected by means of bar code recognition. But it is also possible to automatically extracted the entire text from any desired document and to file such text in a data bank with full-text capability. This teaches that the system extracts the entire text that includes at least one of a title of a documents a creation date of the document, a creator of the document, a file name of the documents an application name under which the document is created, a document keyword and a full text of the document. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify by incorporating extracted feature is at least one of a title of a documents a creation date of

the document, a creator of the document, a file name of the documents an application name under which the document is created, a document keyword and a full text of the document. Thus, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to use such a modification because that would provide Huston's system the enhanced extracting data feature method in the data retrieval and management system. Neither Huston nor David teaches the deleting means at least one of deleting information that matches a predetermined condition and deleting the information after having checked the condition. However, Hiraizumi discloses "mailing" centers in a network and handles signals such as facsimile signals, audio signals and text signals, wherein, when a subscriber makes a request for deletion processing of particular information at a first one of the mailing centers, the first mailing center executes processing of deletion of the particular information from storage means thereof and delivers a request for deletion processing of the particular information and a request to inhibit processing by any other subscriber to a second one of the mailing centers other than the first mailing center, and then, after the deletion processing of the particular information is completed, the inhibition of processing by any other subscriber is cancelled" (col. 5, lines 38-53). This teaches that the subscriber specifies deletion condition so that the particular information can be deleted. Therefore, based on Huston in view of David and further in view of Hiraizumi, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize the teaching of Hiraizumi to the system of Huston in order to delete unnecessary information in remote data management system.

Conclusion

Page 25

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isaac M. Woo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4043. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain T. Alam can be reached on (571) 272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Isaac Woo

September 1, 2006